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Abstract—In this paper, novel dissensus algorithms based on the Oja principal component analysis (PCA) flow are proposed to model opinion dynamics on the unit sphere. The information of the covariance formed by the opinion state of each agent is used to achieve a dissensus equilibrium on unsigned graphs. This differs from most of the existing work where antagonistic interactions represented by negative weights in signed graphs are used to achieve a dissensus equilibrium. The nonlinear algorithm is analyzed under both constant covariance and time-varying covariance leading to different behaviors. Stability analysis for the unstable consensus and stable dissensus equilibria is provided under various conditions. The performance of the algorithm is illustrated through a simulation experiment of a multi-agent system.

I. INTRODUCTION

In social networks, opinion dynamics have been studied to understand not only how individuals exchange opinions with their neighbors and form their own opinions during the process of information exchange, but also group behaviors [1]. As opinions evolve within the group, it is natural that individuals tend to reach consensus or dissensus gradually. In this way, a group decision can be made, whether they agree or disagree on a certain topic [2]. Consensus/dissensus algorithms have been applied in distributed source seeking [3], cooperative control of multi-agent systems [4], [5], and opinion-forming in social networks [6], [7].

When a group of individuals are collaborating with each other, it is likely that they will reach consensus. This case is usually modeled by unsigned graphs, using a class of averaged consensus protocols that yield almost global consensus on the unit sphere [8]. Dissensus may occur when a group can be divided into several subgroups such that individuals collaborate within the same subgroup but compete with individuals from different subgroups. Signed graphs are employed to model this case with negative weights representing antagonistic relationships and positive weights representing collaborative relationships. Using the averaged consensus algorithm, stable dissensus on the unit sphere can also be achieved if the interaction matrix is sign-symmetric [9]. In [10], dissensus is described as bipartite consensus, and linear/nonlinear consensus protocols can be applied to achieve stable dissensus on a signed graph. In [11], a distributed algorithm is developed to reach dissensus for a multi-agent system on a signed digraph. There is one more scenario of dissensus with unsigned graph when individuals are simply sharing opinions with each other without collaborating/antagonistic relationships, they can also disagree and reach dissensus. The averaged consensus algorithm, however, cannot explain the dissensus behavior in unsigned graphs.

In this paper, we propose an Oja principal component analysis (PCA) based dissensus algorithm for the nonlinear opinion dynamics evolved on the surface of the unit sphere. Given a group of agents, each agent forms its own opinion according to the nonlinear dynamics on the unit sphere. The interaction among agents is modeled by the covariance matrix of the relative opinions of its neighbors. By combining the nonlinear dynamics on the unit sphere with the covariance-based interaction, we set up the Oja PCA opinion dynamics and show that the opinion states of the agents in the group will reach stable dissensus equilibrium under certain conditions. The novelty of this paper is the application of Oja PCA flow to model opinion dynamics in multi-agent systems. Instead of using the averaged consensus algorithm, we are using a PCA-based method which is based on the real-time difference between individuals. Under this PCA-based opinion dynamics, the opinion system is able to achieve a stable dissensus equilibrium starting from nonequilibrium initial conditions. Unlike the dissensus algorithms based on signed graphs, our approach achieves stable dissensus for unsigned graphs. Hence, no extra information about collaborative or antagonistic interactions between individuals is needed.

The main challenge the paper has overcome is the convergence analysis of Oja PCA flow with time-varying covariance. For Oja PCA flow with fixed matrix, [12], it has been shown that the solutions of Oja’s equation will converge to the principal eigenspace of the fixed matrix [13], [14]. However, for the time-varying matrix case, the convergence of Oja PCA flow has not been considered before. In this paper, Oja PCA flow has been used to model opinion dynamics and the fixed matrix has been replaced by the time-varying covariance of the opinion states. A special case of Oja PCA flow with the time-varying matrix is constructed in this way, and the corresponding convergence analysis is given by a stability proof of dissensus equilibrium under the PCA-based opinion dynamics. This is difficult in that both the opinion dynamics and the dynamics of the covariance matrix need to be analyzed, and the two types of dynamics make the stability analysis nontrivial.

The main contributions of this paper are as follows. The first contribution is proposing a novel modeling of opinion dynamics on the unit sphere using an Oja PCA flow. The second contribution is using a time-varying covariance of
the opinion states to achieve stable dissensus. The third contribution is providing various stability results. In particular, (i) we prove via Lyapunov analysis that the consensus equilibrium in an $N$-agent network is unstable, (ii) we prove via linearization that the dissensus equilibrium in an $N$-agent network is stable, and (iii) we derive the region of attraction of the nonlinear system for 2-agent and 3-agent networks. The final contribution is illustrating the behavior of the algorithm through a simulation experiment of a 20-agent system in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

Consider a group of $N$ agents exchanging opinions about given options where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \geq 2$. The interactions between agents in the group are described by a graph $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ where $\mathcal{V}$ is the set of all agents and $\mathcal{E}$ is the set of all edges.

**Assumption II.1** The graph $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ formed by the group of agents is undirected and fully connected, i.e. $(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}$ and $(j,i) \in \mathcal{E}$ for any $i,j \in \mathcal{V}, i \neq j$.

**Assumption II.2** The graph $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ formed by the group of agents is unweighted and unsigned, i.e., agents treat each opinion states with equal weights, and there are no antagonistic interactions among the agents.

The opinion of any agent $i \in \mathcal{V}$ is represented by a unit-length vector $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\|v_i\|_2 = 1$. Let $v = [v_1, \ldots, v_N]^T$ be a vector containing the opinion states of all agents. Each opinion state evolves on the surface of the unit sphere $S^{d-1}$ according to the nonlinear dynamics

$$\dot{v}_i = (I - v_i v_i^T)u_i$$

where $I \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is the identity matrix and $u_i = u_i(v) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a control input for agent $i$. The matrix $(I - v_i v_i^T)$ projects $u_i$ onto the tangent space of $v_i$ such that $\dot{v}_i$ is always normal to $v_i$. Since $v_i(0)$s are all unit vectors, $v_i$ will stay on the unit sphere $S_1^{d-1}$.

**Definition II.3 (Consensus Behavior)** The opinion states of the agents are in consensus if $v_i = v_j, \forall (i,j) \in \mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is the set of all edges of the graph.

**Definition II.4 (Dissensus Behavior)** The opinion states of the agents are in dissensus if there exist two non-empty sets $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2$ satisfying $\mathcal{V}_1 \cup \mathcal{V}_2 = \mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{V}_1 \cap \mathcal{V}_2 = \emptyset$, such that $v_i = -v_j, \forall (i,j) \in \mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal{E} \triangleq \{(i,j) \mid i \in \mathcal{V}_1 \text{ and } j \in \mathcal{V}_2\}$ is the set of all edges connecting agents in sets $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2$.

Let $C(v(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ be a positive semi-definite covariance matrix defined by $C(v(t)) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{V}} (v_k(t) - \bar{v}(t))(v_k(t) - \bar{v}(t))^T$, where $\bar{v}(t) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} v(t)$ is the average of all opinions.

The opinion dynamics modeled by the Oja PCA flow under a constant matrix $H$ is given by

$$\dot{v}_i = (I - v_i v_i^T)Hv_i, \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{V},$$

where $H$ can be either a pre-determined positive semi-definite matrix or the initial covariance $C(v(0)) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{V}} (v_k(0) - \bar{v}(0))(v_k(0) - \bar{v}(0))^T$ defined based on the initial opinions. In other words, in (2) all agents exchange their opinion states $v_i(t)$ only at time $t = 0$.

Alternatively, let the control input $u_i$ to be

$$u_i = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{V}} (v_k - \bar{v}, v_i) (v_k - \bar{v}) = C(v)v_i,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ represents the inner product. Substituting (3) into (1) leads to the opinion dynamics

$$\dot{v}_i = (I - v_i v_i^T)C(v)v_i, \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{V}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Note that, in contrast to (2), the agents under the opinion dynamics (4) need to exchange their opinion state $v_i(t)$ at each instant of time $t$.

In this paper, we aim to study the behavior of the Oja PCA opinion dynamics under both a constant covariance matrix $H$ in (2), and a time-varying covariance matrix $C(v)$ in (4), respectively. In particular, our purpose is to derive the equilibrium points of (2) and (4), and determine the conditions under which the system will pursue either a consensus or a dissensus behavior. Moreover, we aim to compare our PCA-based opinion dynamics to the conventional average-based opinion dynamics where $u_i = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{V}} v_k [9]$.

**Remark II.5** To the best of our knowledge, the Oja PCA flow has never been utilized before in modeling opinion dynamics. Additionally, the existing convergence analysis and results of the Oja PCA flow, e.g., [12]–[14], do not hold for the opinion dynamics (4). This is due to the fact that the matrix $C(v)$ in our modeling is time-varying, while it is constant in the existing works [13], [14].

III. PCA-BASED OPINION DYNAMICS UNDER A CONSTANT COVARIANCE

In this section, we model the opinion dynamics of an $N$-agent system using an Oja PCA flow with a general constant matrix $H$. We analyze the convergence of the system according to the initial opinion states. Based on this analysis, we propose a mechanism to design an arbitrary constant matrix $H$ that will yield a steady-state consensus or dissensus behavior for a given initial conditions $\{v_k(0)\}_{k \in \mathcal{V}}$.

**Assumption III.1** The constant matrix $H$ is positive semi-definite. And the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_1$ of $H$ is strictly positive and has multiplicity 1.

Let $q$ be the unit eigenvector of $H$ corresponding to the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_1$, i.e. $Hq = \lambda_1 q$. We first analyze the dynamics of each $v_i$ separately.

**Lemma III.2** Suppose each agent updates its opinion state according to the Oja PCA dynamics (2) with a constant $H$. Then, for each $i \in \mathcal{V}$, $v_i$ either converges to $q$ if $0 < q^Tv_i(0) \leq 1$, or converges to $-q$ if $-1 \leq q^Tv_i(0) < 0$ for any $i \in \mathcal{V}$. Otherwise, if $q^Tv_i(0) = 0$, then $v_i$ remains unchanged.

**Proof:** To prove that $v_i(t)$ converges to $q$ if $0 < q^Tv_i(0) \leq 1$, we define $\beta_i = 1 - q^Tv_i$ where $\beta_i = 0$ if and only if $v_i = q$. Then, using (2), we obtain

$$\dot{\beta}_i = -q^T(I - v_i v_i^T)Hv_i = (1 - \beta_i)(v_i^T Hv_i - \lambda_1).$$
Define a Lyapunov candidate function $V = \beta_i$ which implies that $\dot{V} = \dot{\beta}_i$. If $0 < q^\top v_i(0) \leq 1$, then $\beta_i(0) \in [0, 1)$. Consequently, since $\lambda_1$ is the largest eigenvalue of $H$, then at any time $v_i^\top H v_i \leq \lambda_1$ and $v_i^\top H v_i = \lambda_1$ if and only if $\beta_i = 0$. Hence, $\dot{V}(0) \leq 0$ and $\dot{V}(0) = 0$ if and only if $\beta_i = 0$. Since $V = \beta_i$ is a monotonic function and bounded below by zero, then the trajectory of (5) will stay in a compact sublevel set of the Lyapunov function, implying that $0 < q^\top v_i(t) \leq 1$, or $\beta_i(t) \in [0, 1)$, for all $t > 0$. Therefore, the origin $\beta_i = 0$ is asymptotically stable and hence $v_i \to q$ as $t \to \infty$.

On the other hand, to prove that $v_i(t)$ converges to $-q$ if $-1 \leq q^\top v_i(0) < 0$, we define $\alpha_i = 1 + q^\top v_i$ where $\alpha_i = 0$ if and only if $v_i = -q$. Then, similar to (5) we obtain

$$\alpha_i = q^\top v_i = (1 - \alpha_i)(v_i^\top H v_i - \lambda_1).$$  (6)

Since (5) and (6) are equivalent, we can also conclude that the origin $\alpha_i = 0$ of (6) is asymptotically stable and hence $v_i \to -q$ as $t \to \infty$ if $-1 \leq q^\top v_i(0) < 0$. Finally, if $q^\top v_i(0) = 0$, then $v_i = 0$, which is another equilibrium. Since the system (2) is assumed to be noiseless, $q^\top v_i(t) = 0$ for all $t > 0$.

Since $H$ in (2) is constant, $v_i$ is only determined by $v_i(0)$ and $H$. Additionally, since there is no information exchange between agents, then it implies that whether $\{v_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{V}}$ reaches consensus or disensus mainly depends on the initial opinions $\{v_i(0)\}_{i \in \mathcal{V}}$ and $H$.

For given initial conditions, we can design constant matrix $H$ to achieve consensus or disensus as follows:

- If there exists $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\|q\|_2 = 1$ such that $0 < q^\top v_i(0) \leq 1$ holds for any $i \in \mathcal{V}$, then we can find a constant matrix $H = q q^\top$ to achieve consensus equilibrium of $q$. Such $H$ satisfies Assumption III.1 and $H q = \lambda_i q$ with $\lambda_1 = q^\top q = 1$ being the largest eigenvalue.
- If there exists $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\|q\|_2 = 1$ and the initial opinion states can be divided into two groups $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$, such that $0 < q^\top v_i(0) \leq 1$ for any $i \in \mathcal{V}_1$ and $-1 \leq q^\top v_i(0) < 0$ for any $j \in \mathcal{V}_2$, then the opinion states are converging to disensus equilibrium of $\{q, -q\}$ for constant $H = q q^\top$ satisfying Assumption III.1 and $H q = \lambda_i q$ with $\lambda_1 = q^\top q = 1$ being the largest eigenvalue.

**Remark III.3** Given a set of initial conditions, whether or not we can design a matrix $H$ to achieve consensus, disensus, or both depends on the graph structure. For example, a 2-agent system with $v_1 = -v_2$ cannot achieve consensus for any $H$, and a 2-agent system with $v_1 = v_2$ cannot achieve disensus for any $H$.

Therefore, in the case of constant $H$, the opinion dynamics given by (2) can provide stable consensus or stable disensus equilibria depending on the initial conditions of opinion states and matrix $H$. We cannot guarantee such algorithm always leads to disensus for any constant $H$ satisfying Assumption III.1 and such algorithm cannot be served as a reliable disensus algorithm for the general case.

In the next section, we will introduce a new approach that does not use a constant matrix $H$. Instead, the covariance matrix $C(v(t))$ is used to replace $H$ to achieve stable disensus.

**IV. PCA-Based Opinion Dynamics Under a Time-Varying Covariance**

In this section, Oja PCA flow with a varying covariance matrix $C(v)$ is applied to model opinion dynamics in $N$-agent system ($N \geq 2$). This PCA-based opinion dynamics with a varying covariance will lead to unstable consensus and stable disensus equilibria. This is different from the opinion dynamics with constant $H$ introduced in (2).

**A. Unstable Consensus Equilibrium for $N$-agent Network**

**Theorem IV.1** Under the PCA dynamics (4), the consensus equilibrium $v_1 = v_2 = \cdots = v_N$ is unstable.

**Proof:** Define $\beta_i = 1 - \langle v_i, v_N \rangle$, $i = 1, \cdots, N-1$, where $\beta_i \in [0.2]$, and $\beta_0 = 0$ if and only if $\langle v_i, v_N \rangle = 1$. Let $\widetilde{\beta} = [\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_{N-1}]^\top$. Consider the Lyapunov candidate function

$$V(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \beta_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \|v_i - v_N\|_2^2,$$  (7)

where $V \geq 0$ and $V = 0$ if and only if $\beta_i = 1$, for $i = 1, \cdots, N-1$, i.e., $v_1 = v_2 = \cdots = v_N$. Let $\beta = [\varepsilon, 0, 0, \cdots, 0]^\top$ where $\varepsilon > 0$, i.e., $v_2 = \cdots = v_{N-1} = v_N$ while $v_1 \neq v_N$. Hence, at $\beta = \widetilde{\beta}$, (7) reduces to

$$V(\beta) = \beta_1 = \frac{1}{2} \|v_1 - v_N\|_2^2 > 0.$$  (8)

Next, we obtain

$$V(\beta) = (v_1 - v_N)^\top ((I - v_1 v_1^\top) C v_1 - (I - v_N v_N^\top) C v_N).$$

However, when $\beta = \widetilde{\beta}$, $C = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (v_i - \bar{v})(v_i - \bar{v})^\top = \frac{N-1}{N} (v_1 - v_N)(v_1 - v_N)^\top$. Hence, $V(\beta) = \frac{N-1}{N^2} (1 - v_1^\top v_1)(1 + v_1^\top v_N) = \frac{2N-2}{N^2} \beta_1 (2 - \beta_1)$. Clearly, $V(\beta) > 0$ everywhere except at $\beta_1 = 0.2$, which is equivalent to the equilibrium $v_1 = \pm v_N$. Define the set $U = \{\beta \in B | V(\beta) > 0\}$ where $B = \{\beta \in \mathbb{R} | \beta < 2(N-1)\}$. Note that the set $U$ is nonempty set contained in $B$. This implies that $V(\beta) > 0$ for all points in $U$. Therefore, all the conditions in Theorem 4.3 in [15] are met, and hence the equilibrium $\beta = 0$, or equivalently $v_1 = v_2 = \cdots = v_N$ is unstable.

**Remark IV.2** For multi-agent systems on unsigned graphs, classical consensus algorithms can achieve stable consensus on the unit sphere [9]. The proposed PCA-based algorithm always leads to unstable consensus. Next, we will show that this algorithm can give stable disensus.

**B. Linearization-based Stability Analysis of the Disensus Equilibrium for $N$-agent Network**

In this section, we show via linearization that the disensus equilibrium of the $N$-agent PCA opinion dynamics (4) is locally asymptotically stable.

**Lemma IV.3** Consider an $N$-agent network where the opinion state of each agent evolves according to (4). Let
\( \dot{v}_i = f(v) = (I - v_i v_i^\top)C(v)v_i. \) For any \( i \in \mathcal{V} \), linearizing \( f(v) \) w.r.t. \( v_i \) leads to \( \dot{v}_i = A_i v_i \) where

\[
A_i \triangleq \frac{\partial \dot{v}_i}{\partial v_i} = C + (v_i - \bar{v})^\top v_i I - (v_i - \bar{v})v_i^\top C v_i I - 2v_i v_i^\top C + 2v_i v_i^\top (v_i - \bar{v})v_i^\top. \tag{9}
\]

See proof in Section VII.

**Theorem IV.4** The matrix \( A_i \) is negative definite at the dissensus equilibrium \( v_i = -v_j, \forall (i, j) \in \mathcal{E} \) where \( \mathcal{E} \triangleq \{(i, j) | i \in \mathcal{Y}_1 \text{ and } j \in \mathcal{Y}_2\} \).

**Proof:** According to Definition II.4, if the system is at dissensus, then there exist two non-empty sets \( \mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2 \) such that \( \mathcal{Y}_1 \cup \mathcal{Y}_2 = \{1, \ldots, N\} \) and \( \mathcal{Y}_1 \cap \mathcal{Y}_2 = \emptyset \). Consider \( s \in \mathbb{R}^d \) where \( \|s\|_2 = 1 \) such that \( v_i = s \) for any \( i \in \mathcal{Y}_1 \) and \( v_j = -s \) for any \( j \in \mathcal{Y}_2 \). This implies that \( v_i v_i^\top = ss^\top \) for any \( i \in \mathcal{Y}_1 \) and \( v_j v_j^\top = (-s)(-s)^\top = ss^\top \) for any \( j \in \mathcal{Y}_2 \). Then the averaged opinion state \( \bar{v} \) can be written as

\[
\bar{v} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} v_k = \frac{1}{N} \left( \sum_{i \in \mathcal{Y}_1} v_i + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{Y}_2} v_j \right) = \frac{|\mathcal{Y}_1| - |\mathcal{Y}_2|}{N} s,
\tag{10}
\]

where \( |\mathcal{Y}_l| \) represents the cardinality of set \( \mathcal{Y}_l, l = 1, 2 \), and \( |\mathcal{Y}_1| + |\mathcal{Y}_2| = N, |\mathcal{Y}_1| \geq 1, |\mathcal{Y}_2| \geq 1 \). The covariance matrix at the dissensus equilibrium becomes

\[
C(v) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} v_k v_k^\top - N\bar{v}\bar{v}^\top = \frac{N^2 - (|\mathcal{Y}_1| - |\mathcal{Y}_2|)^2}{N} ss^\top.
\tag{11}
\]

Then, in (9), substituting (10) for \( \bar{v} \), substituting (11) for \( C \), and substituting \( v_i = s \) for any \( i \in \mathcal{Y}_1 \), yields the linearization matrix \( A_i \) evaluated at the dissensus equilibrium

\[
A_i \triangleq -m_i (ss^\top + I),
\tag{12}
\]

where \( m_i = \frac{N^2 - (|\mathcal{Y}_1| - |\mathcal{Y}_2|)^2}{N} - (1 - \frac{|\mathcal{Y}_1| - |\mathcal{Y}_2|}{N}) \) for any \( i \in \mathcal{Y}_1 \).

Similarly, using \( v_j = -s \) for any \( j \in \mathcal{Y}_2 \), the linearization matrix \( A_j \) evaluated at the dissensus equilibrium is

\[
A_j \triangleq -m_j (ss^\top + I),
\tag{13}
\]

where \( m_j = \frac{N^2 - (|\mathcal{Y}_1| - |\mathcal{Y}_2|)^2}{N} - (1 + \frac{|\mathcal{Y}_1| - |\mathcal{Y}_2|}{N}) \) for any \( j \in \mathcal{Y}_2 \).

Since \( \mathcal{Y}_1 \cup \mathcal{Y}_2 = \{1, \ldots, N\} \), \( \mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2 \neq \emptyset \) and \( \mathcal{Y}_1 \cap \mathcal{Y}_2 = \emptyset \), we have \( -(N - 2) \leq |\mathcal{Y}_1| - |\mathcal{Y}_2| \leq -N - 2 \), leading to \( m_i = \frac{1}{N} (N - (|\mathcal{Y}_1| - |\mathcal{Y}_2|))(N + (|\mathcal{Y}_1| - |\mathcal{Y}_2|)) > 0 \) for any \( i \in \mathcal{Y}_1 \). Since \( ss^\top + I \) is strictly positive definite and \( m_i > 0 \), \( A_i = -m_i (ss^\top + I) \) is strictly negative definite for any \( i \in \mathcal{Y}_1 \).

Following the same procedure, we can also show \( m_j = \frac{N^2 - (|\mathcal{Y}_1| - |\mathcal{Y}_2|)^2}{N} - (1 + \frac{|\mathcal{Y}_1| - |\mathcal{Y}_2|}{N}) > 0 \) and \( A_j = -m_j (ss^\top + I) \) is strictly negative definite for any \( j \in \mathcal{Y}_2 \). Therefore, \( A_k \) is strictly negative definite for any \( k \in \mathcal{V} \), which implies that the dissensus equilibrium is asymptotically stable.

As an example, if \( N = 2 \), then \( A_1 = A_2 = -(ss^\top + I) \) which is negative definite.

**C. Lyapunov-based Stable Analysis of the Dissensus Equilibrium for 2-agent and 3-agent Networks.**

In the previous section, we show that the linearized PCA opinion dynamics (4) is stable at dissensus equilibrium. In this section, we instead use Lyapunov stability analysis to study the convergence of nonlinear PCA opinion dynamics (4) for 2-agent and 3-agent networks. This analysis reveals the region of attraction of the trajectories of the nonlinear system to the dissensus equilibrium. The analysis is nontrivial even for 2-agent and 3-agent cases. Thus we leave the generalization to the \( N \)-agent case to a future work.

**Theorem IV.5** For a 2-agent system under the PCA dynamics (4), the equilibrium \( v_1 = -v_2 \) is asymptotically stable.

**Proof:** Define \( \beta_{12} = 1 - \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \), where \( \beta_{12} \in [0, 2] \) and \( \beta_{12} = 0 \) if and only if \( v_1 = -v_2 \). Consider the Lyapunov candidate function \( V : [0, 2) \to \mathbb{R} \) defined by

\[
V = \beta_{12} = 1 + \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle,
\tag{14}
\]

where \( V = 0 \) and \( V = 0 \) if and only if \( \beta = 0 \), i.e., \( v_1 = -v_2 \). Then, we obtain

\[
V = \|v_1 v_2\|_2 - \frac{1}{2} \|v_1 + v_2\|_2^2.
\]

Since \( C(v) = \frac{1}{2} (v_1 - v_2)(v_1 - v_2)^\top \), we can have \( \|v_1 + v_2\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2} (v_1 + v_2)^\top (v_1 - v_2)(v_1 - v_2)^\top (v_1 + v_2) = 0 \). Then

\[
V = -\frac{1}{2} \|v_1 + v_2\|_2^2 \leq 0,
\]

where, in the considered domain \( D = [0, 2] \), \( V = 0 \) if and only if \( v_1 = -v_2 \). Since \( V = V(\beta_{12}) \) is a monotonic function, and bounded below by zero, then the trajectory of the system will stay in a compact sublevel set of the Lyapunov function, implying that domain \( D = [0, 2] \) is forward invariant. That is, if \( 0 \leq \beta_{12}(0) < 2 \), then \( 0 \leq \beta_{12}(t) < 2 \) for all \( t \geq 0 \). Or equivalently, if \( -1 \leq \langle v_1(0), v_2(0) \rangle < 1 \), then \( -1 \leq \langle v_1(t), v_2(t) \rangle < 1 \) for all \( t > 0 \). Therefore, the equilibrium \( \beta_{12} = 0 \), and hence \( v_1 = -v_2 \) is asymptotically stable.

**Remark IV.6** In Theorem IV.1 and Theorem IV.5, we have shown that the PCA-based opinion dynamics (4) yields unstable consensus and stable dissensus, respectively, for a 2-agent system with an unsigned graph. This result cannot be achieved by the averaged dissensus algorithms since they require the graph to be signed and result in stable dissensus for such a graph \([10], [11]\).

**Theorem IV.7** Consider a network of 3 agents in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) where the opinions evolve according to the PCA dynamics (4). Suppose that initially \(-1 \leq \langle v_1(0), v_2(0) \rangle \leq -a < 0 \) and
−1 ≤ ⟨v2(0), v3(0)⟩ ≤ −b < 0 where a, b ∈ (0, 1). Then the equilibrium \( v_1 = v_2 = -v_3 \) is asymptotically stable.

**Proof:** Define the set \( \bar{\mathcal{E}} = \{(i, j) | i \in \mathcal{V}_1 \text{ and } j \in \mathcal{V}_2 \} \). For any \( (i, j) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}} \), define \( \beta_{ij} = 1 + \langle v_i, v_j \rangle \) where \( \beta_{ij} = 0 \) if and only if \( v_i = -v_j \). Consider a Lyapunov candidate function for a general network of \( M \) agents defined by

\[
V = \sum_{(i, j) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}} \beta_{ij} = \sum_{(i, j) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}} \left[1 + \langle v_i, v_j \rangle \right] ,
\]

where \( V \geq 0 \) and \( V = 0 \) if and only if \( \beta_{ij} = 0 \) for all \( (i, j) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}} \), i.e. \( v_i = -v_j, \forall (i, j) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}} \). Then, we obtain

\[
\dot{V} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_1, j \in \mathcal{V}_2} \left[2v_i^T C(v_j) v_j - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3) v_i^T v_j \right] ,
\]

where \( \lambda_k = v_i^T C(v) v_i \in (\lambda_{\min}(C(v)), \lambda_{\max}(C(v))) \), where \( \lambda_{\min}(C(v)) \) and \( \lambda_{\max}(C(v)) \) are the minimum and maximum eigenvalues of \( C(v) \), respectively.

For a network of three agents, the covariance matrix can be written as \( C(v) = \frac{1}{3}[(v_1 - v_2)(v_1 - v_2)^T + (v_1 - v_3)(v_1 - v_3)^T + (v_2 - v_3)(v_2 - v_3)^T] \). Hence, we obtain

\[
\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{3} \left[(1 - v_1^T v_2)^2 + (1 - v_1^T v_3)^2 + (v_1^T v_2 - v_1^T v_3)^2 \right] , \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{3} \left[(1 - v_1^T v_2)^2 + (v_1^T v_2 - v_1^T v_3)^2 + (1 - v_2^T v_3)^2 \right] , \quad \lambda_3 = \frac{1}{3} \left[(v_1^T v_3 - v_2^T v_3)^2 + (1 - v_1^T v_3)^2 + (1 - v_2^T v_3)^2 \right] .
\]

Suppose without loss of generality that \( \mathcal{V}_1 = \{1, 2\} \) and \( \mathcal{V}_2 = \{3\} \), i.e. \( \bar{\mathcal{E}} = \{(1, 3), (2, 3)\} \). Then, we derive

\[
\sum_{(i, j) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}} v_i^T C(v) v_j = -\frac{2}{3}(1 - v_1^T v_3)^2 - \frac{2}{3}(1 - v_2^T v_3)^2 - \frac{2}{3}(1 - v_2^T v_3)(v_1^T v_2 - v_1^T v_3) - \frac{2}{3}(1 - v_1^T v_3)(v_1^T v_2 - v_1^T v_3) - \frac{2}{3}(1 - v_1^T v_3)(v_1^T v_2 - v_1^T v_3) .
\]

On the other hand, we derive

\[
-\sum_{(i, j) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}} (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3) v_i^T v_j = - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3) v_1^T v_3 - (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3) v_2^T v_3 .
\]

Therefore

\[
\dot{V} = -W + Q ,
\]

where \( W = \frac{2}{3} \left[(2 - \beta_{13})^2 + (2 - \beta_{23})^2 + (2 - \beta_{13})(1 - \beta_{13} + v_1^T v_2) + (2 - \beta_{23})(1 - \beta_{23} + v_1^T v_2) \right] , \quad Q = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3)(1 - \beta_{13}) + (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)(1 - \beta_{23}) . \]

Hence, \( W(0) \geq 0 \) and \( Q(0) \geq 0 \). Therefore, the origin \( (\beta_{13}, \beta_{23}) = (0, 0) \) is asymptotically stable.

**V. Simulation Result**

In this section, we present a simulation result in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) that demonstrates a stable disensus equilibrium of the PCA-based opinion dynamics (4) in a multi-agent system.

In \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), any unit vector \( v_i \) can be represented by \( v_i = [\cos \theta_i, \sin \theta_i]^T \). Suppose the opinion states initially located at a non-equilibrium position on the unit circle in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \). Fig. 2 shows the evolution of \( \theta_i \) in a 20-agent system which demonstrates the transition of opinion states from non-equilibrium to disensus equilibrium while evolving on the unit circle. In this example, based on the initial opinions, the 20 agents split into 2 sub-groups \( \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2 \). The opinion states in each subgroup converge to a consensus state. Additionally, the consensus state of one subgroup is the opposite of the consensus state of the other subgroup. The emergence of the two subgroup is clearly indicated in Fig. 2 when \( |\theta_1 - \theta_2| = \pi \) for any \( i \in \mathcal{V}_1, j \in \mathcal{V}_2 \), which occurred at time \( t=0.8s \).

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose a novel nonlinear modeling of opinion dynamics based on the Oja PCA flow. We discovered...
that a stable dissensus equilibrium can be achieved by the PCA-based dynamics with a varying covariance matrix regardless of the initial opinion states. However, if the covariance matrix is fixed, then neither consensus nor dissensus can be guaranteed for all initial opinion states. In the future, we will extend the Lyapunov-based stability analysis to the general $N$-agent complete and incomplete networks.

VII. PROOFS

**Proof:** [Proof of Lemma IV.3] In this proof, we write $C$ for $C(v)$, $\sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{k \neq j}^N$ for $\sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{k \neq j}^N$, and $f(v)$ for $\frac{d}{d\nu} (I - \nu_i \nu_i^T) CV_i = CV_i - \nu_i \nu_i^T CV_i$. Then,

$$\frac{d}{d\nu} f = \frac{d}{d\nu} (C(v)\nu_i) - \frac{d}{d\nu} (\nu_i \nu_i^T C(v))$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

**Derivation of $\frac{d(C(v))}{d\nu}$:**

Note that $C = \sum_j (v_j - \bar{v})(v_j - \bar{v})^T = \sum_j v_j v_j^T - N\bar{v}\bar{v}^T$. Hence,

$$\frac{d(C(v))}{d\nu} = -N \frac{d}{d\nu} (\bar{v}\bar{v}^T v_i) + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{d}{d\nu} (v_j v_j^T).$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

However,

$$\frac{d}{d\nu} \sum_j v_j v_j^T v_i = \sum_j v_j v_j^T + I - \nu_i \nu_i^T.$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

On the other hand, since $N\bar{v}\bar{v}^T = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k (v_k) (v_k)^T$, we can show that $\frac{d}{d\nu} (N\bar{v}\bar{v}^T v_i) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k (v_k) (v_k)^T I + (\sum_k v_k)^T v_i I - (v_i - \bar{v})v_i^T v_i$.

Substituting (26) and (27) into (25), leads to

$$\frac{d}{d\nu} C(v) = C + (v_i - \bar{v})v_i^T I - (v_i - \bar{v})v_i^T,$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

where we used the fact that $\bar{v} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k v_k$ and thus $(\sum_k v_k)(\sum_k v_k)^T$ is $N^2 \bar{v}\bar{v}^T$.

**Derivation of $\frac{d(v_i v_i^T C(v))}{d\nu}$:**

Using $C = \sum_j v_j v_j^T - N\bar{v}\bar{v}^T$, we derive

$$v_i v_i^T C(v) = v_i v_i^T (\sum_j v_j v_j^T) - Nv_i v_i^T \bar{v}\bar{v}^T v_i.$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

Then, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v_i} \left( \sum_j v_j v_j^T \right) v_i = v_i^T C(v) I + 2v_i v_i^T C + N(v_i \bar{v})^2 I + 2Nv_i v_i^T \bar{v}\bar{v}^T - 2v_i v_i^T,$$

where we used the fact that $\sum_j v_j v_j^T = C + N\bar{v}\bar{v}^T$. Additionally, using the fact that $\bar{v} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k v_k v_k^T = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k \neq i} v_k v_k^T + \frac{1}{N} v_i v_i^T$, we can show that

$$Nv_i v_i^T \bar{v}\bar{v}^T v_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k \neq i} v_k v_k^T v_i + \frac{1}{N} v_i v_i^T$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

Finally, substituting (28) and (31) into (24) yields the claimed result (9). 
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